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What is expletive negation (EN)?

(1) *Olga* no se irá [hasta que *Daniela* cante el *himno nacional]*
Olga NEG CL will.go until that Daniela sings.SUBJ the anthem national
‘Olga won’t leave until Daniela sings the national anthem.’

(2) *Olga* no se irá [hasta que *Daniela* no cante el *himno nacional]*
Olga NEG CL will.go until that Daniela NEG sings.SUBJ the anthem national
‘Olga won’t leave until Daniela sings the national anthem.’
(Literally: ‘Olga won’t leave until Daniela doesn’t sing the national anthem.’)
What is expletive negation (EN)?

- The occurrence of EN in Spanish punctual *hasta-*clauses ‘until’ has been accounted for in terms of optional emphasis (Bosque 1980) or meaning absorption (Espinal 1992).

- That EN bears no negative meaning is, however, an impressionistic claim.

- No experimental studies have been designed to differentiate the meaning of EN sentences and EN-less sentences, or to determine the semantics of EN in general.
Goal of this presentation

- My goal is to identify the semantic contribution of EN in temporal clauses with *hasta* ‘until’.
- My claim is that EN does contribute to the sentence meaning. As argued I argued in previous work (Cépeda 2017, forthcoming), the role of EN becomes visible when analyzing the aspectuality of the eventualities in the *hasta*-clause.
- The results of an acceptability task administered to Spanish native speakers confirm the active semantics of EN.
Roadmap

- Proposal to interpret the negative marker in Spanish *hasta*-clauses from a non-expletive perspective
- Report on an experimental study on the occurrence of EN in Spanish *hasta*-clauses
- Discussion on the role of EN and its implications for the theory of negation
Interpreting *hasta*-clauses with accomplishments

Sentence (1) contains an accomplishment in the *hasta*-clause and is compatible with:

- **An ongoing reading**: Olga will leave while Daniela is singing the national anthem.

- **A finished reading**: Olga will leave once Daniela has finished (i.e. is no longer) singing the national anthem.
Interpreting *hasta*-clauses with accomplishments

Sentence (2) contains an accomplishment and EN in the *hasta*-clause and is compatible with a **finished reading** only: Olga will leave once Daniela has finished (i.e. is no longer) singing the national anthem.

It is also compatible with a **conditional reading** (not present in (1)): Olga will make sure that Daniela has finished (i.e. is no longer) singing the national anthem for her to leave.
Daniela sings the national anthem from $t_0$ to $t_2$

Olga won’t leave before $t_0$

Olga will leave during the interval starting at $t_0$

Olga will leave during the interval starting at $t_0$ and ending at $t_2$, or shortly thereafter

EN’s role

Olga will leave during the interval starting right after $t_2$

Olga will leave during the interval starting right after $t_0$, or shortly thereafter
Interpreting *hasta*-clauses with achievements

Sentence (3) contains an achievement in the *hasta*-clause. It is compatible with:

- **An ongoing reading:** Olga will leave when Daniela arrives home.
- **A finished reading:** Olga will leave once Daniela has arrived home.
Interpreting *hasta-*clauses with achievements

(4) Olga no se irá [hasta que Daniela no llegue a casa]
Olga NEG CL will.go until that Daniela NEG arrives.SUBJ to house

‘Olga won’t leave until Daniela arrives home.’
(Literally: ‘Olga won’t leave until Daniela doesn’t arrive home.’)

Sentence (4) contains an achievement and EN in the *hasta-*clause. As predicted for EN, it is compatible with a finished reading only: *Olga will leave once Daniela has arrived home.*

It is also compatible with a conditional reading (not present in (3)): *Olga will make sure that Daniela has (properly) arrived for her to leave.*
Olga won’t leave before $t_0$  |  Olga will leave during the interval starting at $t_0$

Olga will leave at $t_0$ or shortly thereafter
I conducted an experimental study to test the predictions in Cépeda (2017, forthcoming). Based on the properties of *hasta*-clauses just reviewed, this study examines two main hypotheses:

- **Hypothesis 1.** For the ongoing reading, EN sentences are less likely to be acceptable than their EN-less counterparts.
- **Hypothesis 2.** For accomplishments, the different acceptability of EN and EN-less sentences in the ongoing reading is more likely to be higher than in the finished reading.
Methodology

- 24 statement-interpretation pairs:
  - Statements contained either an accomplishment or an achievement in the *hasta*-clause, and were either EN or EN-less.
  - Interpretations for accomplishments had either an ongoing or a finished reading.

- Achievements do not have duration, so they were only tested for the finished condition.
### Methodology

- **Examples of the statement-interpretation pairs:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olga won’t leave until Daniela (NEG) sings the national anthem. When Olga leaves, Daniela will be singing the national anthem.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finished</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olga won’t leave until Daniela (NEG) sings the national anthem. When Olga leaves, Daniela will have already sung the national anthem.</td>
<td>Olga won’t leave until Daniela (NEG) arrives home. When Olga leaves, Daniela will have already arrived home.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methodology

- Using Experigen (Becker & Levine 2012), participants were asked to express their agreement or disagreement with the statement-interpretation pairs in an online task.
- I use data from 51 speakers who completed the survey, self-reported as native speakers of Spanish and being 18 y/o or older.
- I developed a generalized linear mixed model to report on the “Agree” answers.
Percentage of “Agree” responses

- **Ongoing Accomplishment**
  - EN-less: 52%
  - EN: 29%

- **Finished Accomplishment**
  - EN-less: 95%
  - EN: 79%

- **Finished Achievement**
  - En-less: 97%
  - EN: 73%
**Hypothesis 1:**
For the ongoing reading, EN sentences are less likely to be acceptable than their EN-less counterparts.

**Results:**
For the ongoing reading, the presence of EN significantly reduces the acceptability of the sentences ($p < .03$).
In contrast, for the finished reading, the presence of EN does not generate any significant difference in acceptability for accomplishments ($p > .2$) or achievements ($p > .9$).
Hypothesis 2:
For accomplishments, the different acceptability of EN and EN-less sentences in the ongoing reading is more likely to be higher than in the finished reading.
Results:
For accomplishments, the ongoing reading of EN statements was significantly dispreferred in contrast to the finished reading (29% vs. 79%, Negation: $p < .001$, Reading: $p < .0001$).
The role of EN

- These results support Cépeda’s (2017, forthcoming) proposal that EN has an **active negative semantics**.
- EN **negates** the possibility that the eventuality in the main clause holds during the interval expressed in the *hasta*-clause.
- As an effect, the time of actualization of the eventuality in the main clause is restricted to the complement of the interval expressed in the *hasta*-clause.
The role of EN

■ **EN-less sentence:**  Olga won’t leave [ until P ]
  = *Olga won’t leave [ until P starts or later on ]*

■ **EN sentences:**  Olga won’t leave [ until ¬P ]
  = *Olga won’t leave [ until ¬P starts (=P finishes) or later on]*
Negation after all

- I interpret the findings in this study as supporting Postal’s (2005) claim that negation expresses the complement function.

- In simple terms, the presence of EN means that there is no temporal cooccurrence between the main eventuality and the hasta-interval (= no overlapping).
Licensing EN crosslinguistically

- Assuming a complement-functional role for EN explains why EN occurs in certain temporal clauses but not in others.

- Until-, before- and since-clauses allow overlapping in their interpretations. EN is licensed to express no overlapping.
  - I am currently working with Viviane Déprez (Rutgers University) on a experimental study to determine the interpretation of EN in French avant-clauses ‘before’ (in progress)
  - I have a joint work with Jiwon Yun (Stony Brook University) on the interpretation of EN in Korean –nci-clauses ‘since’ (Cépeda & Yun 2018)
In contrast, a culmination point is relevant for interpreting *after*-clauses (Heinämäki 1978, Beaver & Condoravdi 2003). EN never occurs in *after*-clauses crosslinguistically due to the impossibility of temporal overlapping between the eventuality in the main clause and the interval in the *after*-clause.
On illusions and conditions

- The illusion of expletiveness can be explained by a coarse level of granularity applied to the semantics of achievements.

- The conditional reading can be explained by a fine level of granularity, which makes the finished reading the only one available.
Conclusions

- The findings reported in this study are inconsistent with previous reports on the emptiness of EN.
- I have shown that EN has indeed a negative active semantics, which is made evident when examining aspectual differences in Spanish hasta-clauses.
- My current research program focuses on whether this proposal can be extended to other semantic domains where EN is also licensed (e.g. degrees, possible worlds).
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